

Yicheng Zhong
Apt 107 Number 5588 Sanlugong Road
Minhang District
Shanghai, China
mastermind.zyc@hotmail.com
July 08th, 2025

RECEIVED
2025 JUL 14 AM 11:01
CLERK
US BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Honorable Judge Karen B. Owens
United States Bankruptcy Court
District of Delaware
824 North Market Street, 3rd Floor
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
USA

Re: Objection to the Designation of China as a "Restricted Foreign Jurisdiction"
In re: FTX Trading Ltd., et al., Case No. 22-11068 (KBO)

Dear Judge Owens:

OBJECTION TO MOTION OF FTX RECOVERY TRUST TO IMPLEMENT
RESTRICTED JURISDICTION PROCEDURES

Submitted by: Yicheng Zhong, Tax Resident of China

Preliminary Statement

My name is Yicheng Zhong and I hold one KYC-verified FTX account with aggregate claims of 43254.61 USD. I have fully complied with every procedural requirement under the Plan. The proposed motion now jeopardizes my right to distribution in an arbitrary and inequitable manner.

This motion to designate China as a “Restricted Jurisdiction” is unsupported by either fact or law. As outlined below, there is no credible legal basis to conclude that distributions to Chinese creditors would subject the Trust, or any distribution agent, to regulatory or criminal risk.

I. FTX Claims Are USD-Denominated and Payable via Lawful Channels

1. FTX claims are denominated and settled in U.S. Dollars. While these claims originate from crypto investments, the Debtors’ Plan explicitly adopts a USD-denominated valuation and distribution framework. The proposed distributions are fiat-based and do not require engagement with virtual assets, rendering them functionally indistinguishable from traditional monetary claims.[1]

2. USD wire transfers are lawful and standard payment mechanisms. FTX Recovery Trust has announced partnerships with global payment platforms (e.g., Payoneer, BitGo) and distribution agents (e.g., Kraken) to facilitate fiat disbursements. Chinese residents can lawfully receive USD wires via Hong Kong-based accounts, which is outside the scope of capital control violations.[2][3]

3. USD settlement mechanisms exist for Chinese creditors. Even if crypto-based payouts are avoided, there are sufficient and lawful channels for distributing USD. In the Celsius Network LLC case, the bankruptcy court successfully disbursed USD to Chinese creditors via standard international wire transfers, confirming the existence of practical and compliant financial infrastructure.[4]

4. Conclusion to Section I. Given that the FTX bankruptcy estate values and settles creditor claims in U.S. Dollars, this case is fundamentally no different from other bankruptcy proceedings when it comes to distribution. The U.S. Dollar is a global reserve currency and can be lawfully transmitted to Chinese creditors through established channels. Equal access to USD compensation is essential to preserving fairness and equity in the bankruptcy process. The FTX Recovery Trust's attempt to withhold distributions from Chinese creditors based on jurisdictional interpretations of crypto regulation is both unreasonable and legally unfounded. Chinese creditors are entitled to

equal treatment in USD distributions just like creditors in other jurisdictions. Any attempt to block such distributions based on speculative regulatory interpretations is not only unjust but lacks legal basis.

II. Even Crypto-Based Distributions Are Legally Permissible in China

1. China Recognizes Virtual Assets as Legal Property.

Chinese courts have consistently held that cryptocurrencies such as Bitcoin and Ethereum constitute personal property under the Civil Code. These rulings confirm that individual ownership is lawful.[5][6][7][8]

2. Hong Kong Supports Crypto Regulation and Retail Participation.

As a Special Administrative Region (SAR) of the People's Republic of China, Hong Kong operates under the 'one country, two systems' framework, which allows it to establish its own financial and regulatory policies. However, it remains an integral part of China. Not only does Hong Kong allow individuals and institutions to hold and trade virtual assets, but it has also proactively embraced digital assets with a comprehensive licensing regime. Its progressive and well-defined regulatory framework aims to attract global digital asset firms and provide robust investor protection mechanisms.[9][10][11][12]

3. Mischaracterization of Macau Policy.

The Trust references Macau's 2017 ICO-related warning, but this merely restricts financial institutions from participating in token issuances. It does not prohibit personal ownership or receipt of crypto assets.[13][14]

4. International Precedents Support Distributions to Chinese Creditors.

In Celsius Network LLC (Case No. 22-10964, S.D.N.Y.), the court approved USD distributions to Chinese claimants via wire. In the Mt. Gox rehabilitation, Chinese creditors lawfully received crypto (BTC, BCH) through Kraken. No jurisdictional restrictions were imposed in either case.[15][16]

Conclusion

In conclusion, distributing claims to Chinese creditors poses no legal risk to the Trustee or its agents and constitutes a required step under the bankruptcy process. The motion to classify China as a “Restricted Jurisdiction” lacks factual foundation and misapplies legal principles. I respectfully urge the Court to reject any designation that would exclude Chinese creditors from distributions under the Plan.

Respectfully submitted,

Yicheng Zhong

Yicheng Zhong

Dated: July 08, 2025

Appendix A: Reference Links

- [1] <https://www.coindesk.com/markets/2025/05/16/ftx-to-pay-over-usd5b-to-creditors-as-bankrupt-exchange-gears-up-for-distribution>
- [2] <https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/ftx-recovery-trust-to-distribute-more-than-5-billion-to-creditors-in-second-distribution-on-may-30-2025-302456976.html>
- [3] <https://www.coinspeaker.com/ftx-adds-payoneer-as-payment-method-for-creditors>
- [4] <https://www.nysb.uscourts.gov/content/re-22-10964-mg-celsius-network-llc-21>
- [5] https://watcher.guru/news/bitcoin-is-now-recognized-as-a-legal-virtual-property-by-shanghai-high-court#google_vignette
- [6] <https://www.mondaq.com/fin-tech/1577154/shanghai-court-recognises-the-legality-of-crypto-ownership-for-individuals>
- [7] <https://www.coindesk.com/markets/2018/10/26/chinese-court-rules-bitcoin-should-be-protected-as-property>

- [8] <https://news.bitcoin.com/chinese-court-ethereum-legal>
- [9] <https://www.info.gov.hk/gia/general/202210/31/P2022103000454.htm>
- [10] <https://www.reuters.com/technology/crypto-exchange-hashkey-bags-licence-update-serve-retail-users-2023-08-03>
- [11] <https://cointelegraph.com/news/hong-kong-welcomes-first-licensed-retail-crypto-exchange-hashkey>
- [12] <https://www.engage.hoganlovells.com/knowledgeservices/news/hong-kong-government-policy-announcement-on-virtual-asset-exchanges-hong-kong-fintech-week-2022>
- [13] <https://www.gov.mo/en/news/74177>
- [14] <https://www.amcm.gov.mo/zh-hant/news-notice/statement/detail/alert-to-risks-of-virtual-commodities-and-tokens/642>
- [15] <https://www.theblock.co/post/303624/mt-gox-creditors-may-have-to-wait-for-up-to-three-months-to-receive-their-bitcoin>
- [16] <https://cointelegraph.com/news/mt-gox-confirm-bitcoin-addresses-repayment>



特快

025-07-09 10:10:29



028 497 982 1569


SA



t, 824
ior Wi
9801)

UM

Shenzhen Smart World 5A Chan
Shanghai China



AHNY2505-X

运单号 S

Payment 付款方式:

寄付现结

Actual Wt 实际重量: 0.0

Charged Wt 计费重量: 0.0

Description 托寄物:

DOC: 1;


SF0284979821569

SF0284979821569

无法
event le
(s) n
享度在1
applicat
金、危险
es or c

BILLING: P/P
DESC: DOC


EDI-DOC



1P

UPS SAVER

TRACKING #: 1Z 4W2 60E 04 1184 9784



DE 197 9-25

SHIP TO:
CLERK OF THE COURT
3022522900
CLERK OF THE COURT
ST 3RD FLOOR
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT, 824 N MARKET
WILMINGTON DE 19801
UNITED STATES

SF EXPRESS
ZHONGYICHENG
SHENZHOU SMART WORLD 5A CHANGN
201208 SHANGHAI SHANG
CHINA MAINLAND

1 KG

1 OF 1

SHIP#: 4W26 0E7N HY7
SHIP WT: 0.5 KG
DATE: 10 JUL 2025

数量: 100万

生产日期: 2025年3月

包装有限公司



430300100696

95338 www.sf-express.com

深圳市宝安区航城街道草园社区深圳机场航站楼四路1111号顺丰华南转运中心综合楼三层、四层